

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37565 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2025 Thana- PARSABAZAR District- Patna

Ravi Kumar S/O Shailendra Singh R/O Village- Mustafapur, P.S.- Punpun,
District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Vashist

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8 and
20(b)(ii)(A) of the NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 934 gram of *Ganja* from the Auto of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the
ground that *Ganja* was recovered from his Auto, it is next
submitted that petitioner is an Auto driver who earns his
livelihood by driving Auto. It is further submitted that
passengers keep changing in small duration and it might be a



possibility that a passenger may have inadvertently left the bag in the Auto and the petitioner came to be implicated. It is also submitted that it does not appear probable that a person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated. It is next submitted that though in the FIR, it has been alleged that petitioner fled from the place of occurrence, but then the fact is that Auto was standing and the petitioner had gone to have his lunch and when he came out after having his lunch, he saw policemen standing around his vehicle and were saying that *Ganja* has been recovered, as such, petitioner out of fear did not go before them. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Parsa Bazar P.S. Case No. 117 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. It is further made clear that in the event if charge sheet is submitted connecting the petitioner with the offence, in that event the present anticipatory bail order shall lose its effect.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned P.S. through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

