

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37595 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDI District- Nalanda

Suraj Kumar S/O- Ramkali Yadav Resident of Village- Ghorahari, P.S.-
Chandi, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Shashank Chouhan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 19-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.
Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandi
P.S. Case No. 72 of 2025 instituted for the offences under
Sections 103(2), 61, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner committed the murder of
informant's brother.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner is innocent has falsely been implicated in the present case. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of suspicion. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel further submitted that the deceased was last seen with one Birju Yadav. The name of the petitioner is being dragged only merely because he happens to be the brother of Birju Yadav. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2025 and has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that this petitioner has himself confessed his guilt and has narrated the manner in which the accused persons including him committed the murder of the deceased which is further corroborated by the post-mortem report of the deceased.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being ample material against the petitioner to show his involvement in the commission of murder of the deceased, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the



petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

