

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40109 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2025 Thana- TELHARA District- Nalanda

Chandan Yadav S/O Chandradev Singh @ Chandu Yadav Resident of village-
Banthiya saren, PS- Nimchak Bathani, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ajay Paswan Village - Telhara, P.S. Telhara, District - Nalanda

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parth Sarthy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 11-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Telhara
P.S. Case No. 27 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable
under Section 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
enticed away the minor daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the
allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus



in nature. There was love affair between the petitioner and the victim. It is further submitted that there is contradiction between the voluntary police statement of the victim and her statement recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. The petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation levelled against the petitioner of enticing away the minor daughter of the informant. It is further submitted that the victim has supported the prosecution case in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

