

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37250 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2024 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

Shrawan Kumar @ Shrawan Das S/O Bindauli Ravidas @ Bindaul Ravidas
@ Bedauli Das R/O Village- Beri, PS- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 22-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bikram
P.S. Case No. 78 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code and later on, Section
304B of the Indian Penal Code was added.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
alongwith the family members tortured and killed the deceased
for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that during investigation, witnesses have supported the prosecution case and, as per paragraph no. 86 of the case diary, one of the witnesses has specifically stated that this petitioner was assaulting the deceased. Learned APP further submitted that post-mortem report also supports the prosecution case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner being husband of the deceased as also there being ample material against him in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

