

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41685 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-521 Year-2024 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

Vijendra Kumar Yadav @ Vijendra Yadav @ Bijendra Yadav S/O Rajballabh Chaudhary @ Rajbalam Choudhary R/O Village- Bhagwan Tola, P.O- Balesara, P.S- Uchakagaon, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devashish Giri, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 521 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 109, 118(2), 111, 308(4), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that one Parmender Yadav demanded Rs. 20 lakhs extortion from informant's husband and, later on, he along with other accused persons including the petitioner encircled the informant's husband and assaulted him and also fired upon him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused, namely, Amrish Kumar Yadav and Dipak Kumar and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that no specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 07.03.2025 and has got two criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in one case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12722 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 521 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

