

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38814 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2024 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

Aatish Kumar S/O Late Bachu Rai @ Bachhulal Rai R/O Vill.- Natha Chapra,
P.s.- Dariyapur, Dist.- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dewendra Narayan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Marhowrah P.S. Case No. 205 of 2024 dated 02.04.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 32(1), 32(2), 38, 41(1) and 41(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 3718 litres of illicit spirit was recovered from the Bathan of the co-accused, Sonu Baitha.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has four criminal antecedents in which



he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by local chowkidar. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery rather the recovery has been made from the bathan of the co-accused person. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 27.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 56660 of 2024. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Marhowrah P.S. Case No. 205 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

