

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39416 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-235 Year-2024 Thana- DALSINGHSARAI District- Samastipur

Chandradeep Prasad S/O Late Manhgu Mahto @ Mangu Mahto Resident of
village- Panchpadka, Ward No. 7, PS- Ujjiyarpur, District- Samastipur, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanchay Srivastava, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190 and
103 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3 . As per F.I.R., informant alleges that on 22.07.2024,
her husband had gone to Civil Court, Dalsingsarai for some
work and as he reached near Dainy Chowk, in the meantime, all
the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner,
came upon their motorcycles and surrounded the husband of
informant. It is alleged that all the accused persons fired from
their pistols and shot dead husband of informant. It is alleged



that altercation was result of previous dispute.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged. Informant is neither eye witness to the alleged occurrence nor she disclosed on what basis she came to know about the name of accused persons who were involved in the alleged occurrence. As a matter of fact, son of co-accused Dhaneshwar Mato was killed for which Ujiyarpur P S Case No. 434 of 2023 was lodged in which deceased and informant were accused and thus there was enmity between deceased and his step brother and petitioner has got no concern with the co-accused Dhaneshwar Mahto but due to village politics, the name of petitioner has been dragged in this case. Charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he, alongwith others, shot dead husband of the informant. During investigation, some of the witnesses have claimed to be eyewitness to the occurrence and named this petitioner, as one who also participated and this



petitioner along with other co-accused persons shot dead the husband of informant. Petitioner has got three criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that prayer for grant of similarly situated co-accused persons has already been rejected by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 09.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83399 of 2024 and analogous cases.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, material available on record, criminal antecedents of the petitioner and gravity of offence, I am not inclined to interfere with the order impugned and as such, prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

