

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1629 of 2023
In
Letters Patent Appeal No.454 of 2019

=====

Damodar Singh, (In person), Son of Late Bhikhar Singh Resident of Village-
Fakuli, P.O. -Fakuli, Southern Tola, Near Naini, P.S.-Chapra Muffasil,
District-Saran at Chapra Pin Code -841301,(Bihar) Present Address- Mohalla-
Opposite Homeopathic Medical College, Gudari Bazar, P.O. Rajendra
College, Gudari Bazar, Chapra, P.S.- Bhagwan Bazar Chapra, District- Saran
at Chapra- 841301 (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Principal Secretary, Department of the
Agriculture and Marketing, Government of India, Room No.-12, Krishi
Bhawan, New Delhi-110001. Mr. Sanjay Agrawal.
2. Sri Ritesh Chauhan, The Managing Director, National Agricultural Co-
operative Marketing Federation of India Ltd. NAFED, House-1, Sidharath
Enclave, Ring Road, Ashram Chowk, New Delhi 110014.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Damodar Singh (In Person)
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. K.N. Singh, ASG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 04-04-2025 The writ petition preferred by the petitioner was

dismissed by a learned Single Judge of this Court on the

preliminary objection that direction was sought against

the National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing

Federation of India Limited (NAFED), which is a

cooperative organisation which does not fall in the

category of State as defined under Article 12 of the

Constitution of India.

2. The petitioner appealed against the



aforenoted judgment, which too was dismissed. However, while dismissing the Intra-Court Appeal of the petitioner, this Court had observed that it will be open for the petitioner/appellant to approach the cooperative federation or any other appropriate forum for the needful, in case so deemed necessary.

3. It appears that the petitioner approached the NAFED but no decision was taken.

4. We are afraid whether any contempt jurisdiction of this Court could be invoked under the aforenoted circumstances.

5. The petitioner would be well advised to approach the appropriate forum, perhaps the Civil Courts for the needful.

6. The petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Rajesh/Saurav

U			
---	--	--	--

