

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39521 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Jyotish Kumar S/O Kailash Saw @ Kailash Nath Sahu R/O Village- Mannpur,
Tola- Ammatari, P.S- Kaowakol, Distt -Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Indradeo Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Prasad Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 05-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in Kauwakol
P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025 registered under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109, 303(2), 117(3), 118(2), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of
Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to allegation, the FIR named accused
persons equipped with Lathi, Khanti, iron rod, knife started
opposing the informant after uttering that the informant has won
the election of PACCS and he could not be saved and in
consequence thereof, the accused persons started assaulting the
informant, as a result of which, he sustained injuries. The
specific allegation against the petitioner is of inflicting sword



blow on the head of Satyendra Kumar.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that initially, the injury report of Satyendra Kumar was found to be simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance but after radiological report, supplementary injury report was submitted and in that report, the injuries were said to be grievous. Learned counsel has submitted that the supplementary injury report shows that on the basis of three X-ray reports, the injuries were found to be grievous. Those three X-ray reports are of the forearm, right hand and left forearm. The injuries mentioned in the supplementary injury report are not attributed to the present petitioner. Learned counsel has also submitted that on similar footing, the other co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant as well as the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-Ist Class,



Nawada in connection with Kauwakol P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025,
subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of
the Code of Criminal Procedure/482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

A.K.V//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

