

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37165 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-344 Year-2024 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

=====

Raghunandan Sardar S/O Vikan Sardar Resident of Sakin- Bhaiyaram Chakla,
ward no.- 14, PO and PS- Pipra, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parth Sarthy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case no. 344 of 2024 registered under sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that his daughter along with her three children were living separately from her in laws. It is further stated that the six named accused persons including the petitioner herein were regularly creating trouble for her. A petition had also been filed by his daughter, however, no steps were taken and only assurances were given. His daughter was killed and with the intention to dispose of the body, the same was thrown away. It is



stated that the named accused persons had ill intention towards her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who does not happen to be a family member, has been falsely implicated in the case. The witnesses have not supported the allegations against him. The name of the petitioner does not find mention in the petition filed by the deceased addressed to the Superintendent of Police, Supaul. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to cooperate in the case.

5. Though the application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State, however, it is not denied in reference to the petition filed by the deceased addressed to the Superintendent of Police, Supaul, which finds mention in paragraph no. 80 of the case diary, that the same does not refer to this petitioner. Even the daughter of the deceased whose statement has been recorded in paragraph no. 25 in the case diary does not take the name of this petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the material that has transpired in course of investigation and specially contents of paragraph nos. 25 and 80



of the case diary wherein the name of the petitioner does not figure either in the statement of the daughter of the deceased or in the petition written by the deceased to the Superintendent of Police, Supaul, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case no. 344 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Birpur, Supaul.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saauravkrsinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

