

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37271 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-47 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Sahrukh Khan @ Sarukh Khan Son of Salim Miya @ Salim Khan Resident of
Vill- Dewarji Khurd, P.S.- Bhabua, District- Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sabia Bibi W/O- Mustafa Khan R/O Vill- Dewarji Khurd, P.S.- Bhabua,
District- Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar Seth, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 12-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the Informant

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 47 of 2023, dated 09.10.2023, lodged under Section 341, 323, 448, 376, 504, 506 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, pending before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge VI cum Special Judge POCSO, Kaimur at Bhabhua.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected vide order dated 18.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 11787 of 2024 with a liberty to renew his prayer for bail after one year from the date of taking cognizance.

4. Counsel further submits that cognizance was taken on 24.01.2024, and more than one year has lapsed, but the trial



has not yet been concluded.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer and submits that on the previous occasion, a report regarding the present stage of the trial was called for, and from the report, it transpires that all charge-sheeted witnesses have been examined, and the case is presently at the stage of awaiting the FSL report.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that, as per the report, all charge-sheeted witnesses have been examined and the trial is likely to be concluded within three months.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial at the earliest.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

