

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37756 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2024 Thana- JAMALPUR District- Munger

Paras Kumar S/O Late Indradeo Tanti @ Indradev Tanti R/O Village-
Nayatola, Fulka, P.S- Jamalpur, Distt.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Ranjeet Patel, Advocate
Mr. Divit Vinod, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in Jamalpur
P.S. Case No. 224 of 2024 registered under Sections-316(2),
318(4) & 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution alleges that the petitioner, along with
others, was one of the Directors of the company, namely, M/s
Jolly Wood Industries Private Limited, which is said to have
been involved in soliciting involvement from the public at large.
However, all the employees, including the petitioner, siphoned
off the said investment, and thereby a number of persons have
been defrauded.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated. He



has no concern with the bank transactions of the company. He has also submitted that co-accused Priya Ranjan Kumar Rajeev @ Priya Ranjan Kumar Rajiv, a driver of the company, has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 28.08.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 57709 of 2025.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that the case of this petitioner stands on different footing to that of co-accused Priya Ranjan Kumar who has been granted anticipatory bail by this court. He was driver of the said company.

6. Having considered the rival submission of the parties, I am of the view that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail and accordingly, the same is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

