

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37887 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2025 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

1. Lallu Paswan S/O Late Rampati Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
2. Chandan Paswan @ Chandan Kumar Paswan S/O Ramashish Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
3. Bhaglu Paswan S/O Late Sundar Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
4. Parshuram Paswan S/O Late Rampati Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
5. Alok Kumar S/O Vishnu Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
6. Umesh Paswan S/O Late Ram Pati Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
7. Lalan Paswan @ Ram Lalan Paswan S/O Late Devki Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar
8. Abhay Paswan S/O Parshuram Paswan Resident of Village- Pipra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lal Bahadur Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 25-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 28-2-2025, Yagya was being conducted in the village from where the informant and others were returning after earning when they were intercepted by the named accused persons including the petitioners and all the accused assaulted the informant and others by sword, Bhala, lathi and also snatched Rs. 17,500/- which they had earned.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is further submitted that from perusal of the injury report, annexed as Annexure-2 series, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature except that of Rahul, who is alleged to have suffered grievous injury, but then it is submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is not specific rather is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No.71 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

