

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38066 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2024 Thana- WAJIRGANJ District- Gaya

Ranjan Kumar S/o Sri Devnandan Yadav R/o Village- Kaiya Tola Maniyara,
PS- Mufassil, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Varsha Verma, Adv.
For the State : Ms. Sangeeta Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 333, 279, 186, 353, 379, 411, 420 and 216 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that when the police party stopped some tractors from illegally carrying sand and demanded *challan*, three motorcycle borne persons protested and assaulted them while the drivers of the said tractors fled away and only one driver was apprehended who disclosed his name as Karan Manjhi.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has transpired only during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused Pappu Yadav in paragraph 30 of the case diary as would appear from the bail rejection order which shows that his presence was also there on the spot. However, there is no specific allegation of assault upon this petitioner. It is also submitted that the arrested driver of the tractor disclosed the names of the persons who came on motorcycle as Bhola Yadav, Manish Yadav and Sonu Yadav and did not take the name of this petitioner. It is further submitted that two other accused persons, who were the owners of the tractors, have also been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail vide order dated 10.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 523 of 2025 and this petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State, however, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Wazirganj P.S. Case No. 315 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ 482 (2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

