

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37209 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1417 Year-2022 Thana- PHULWARISHARIF District- Patna

Krishnakant Singh @ Krishant Singh S/o Bhagwat Singh @ Bhagwat Prasad
Singh R/o Village- Tara, PS- Obra, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate Ms. Sneha Shruti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ramashish, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Phulwarisharif P.S. Case no. 1417 of 2022 registered under sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the informant was married to the petitioner in April, 2021, however, she was tortured and killed for non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry by way of Rs. 5,00,000/-, etc. It is further stated that her body was secretly disposed of.



4. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The post-mortem report does not support the prosecution case. The cause of false implication is only for the reason of the petitioner being the husband of the deceased. It is for this reason that in the post-mortem examination report, it has been directed that the viscera be preserved. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant. It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that the daughter of the informant was killed within one year of the marriage for non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry. The allegation against this petitioner, who happens to be the husband, is specific in the FIR.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, there being demand of dowry to the tune of Rs. 5,00,000/- and the death having taken place within one year of marriage, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.



7. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the learned
Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saurovkrishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

