

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37181 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2024 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East  
Champaran

- 
1. Rita Devi W/O Ramvinay Prasad @ Rambinay Prasad R/O Vill.- Tirkauliya,  
P.s.- Mufassil, Dist.- East Champaran.
  2. Durgawati Devi W/O Sonalal Sahani R/O Vill.- Bara Bariyarpur, P.s.-  
Chhatauni, Dist.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                     |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Abhishek Kumar  |
|                          |   | Mr. Hemant Ray      |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Parmanand Kumar |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      08-10-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 379, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and later on,  
Section 409 IPC was also added.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that  
the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women  
and the informant alleges that she is Chairman of Mala Nari  
Shakti Mahila Swablambi Sahkari Samiti and the Samiti has an  
account in the S.B.I. which is operated by the joint signature of  
the informant and the Board of Directors, but on 07.02.2023



some Directors in connivance with the Ex-C.E.O. Kunj Bihari Prasad illegally withdrew an amount of Rs.1,87,000/-, thereafter the informant on coming to know about the occurrence, locked the account but the accused persons misused the letter head of the informant and renewed the operation of the Bank account and withdrew an amount of Rs.3,33,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant has made the said firm as her pocket firm and she did not hold meetings of the Samiti, hence as per rule of the Samiti, petitioners assumed power for which the resolution was passed and the resolution was sent to the Bank and thus, the Bank allowed the operation of the account. It is next submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.



10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Town Motihari P. S. Case No.191 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

