

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37660 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-334 Year-2024 Thana- ARA NAWADA District- Bhojpur

Rocky Kumar @ Rocky Singh Son of Late Rangnath Singh Village- and Po-
Sarathua PS- Udwant Nagar District -Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nawada
P.S. Case No. 334 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 323, 341, 307, 504, 34, 201, 326 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the accused persons
shot at the informant's son, due to which he sustained injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner
transpired in this case on the basis of statement made by victim
Utkarsh Anand which was recorded after a lapse of five days of



the incident. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. The specific allegation is against co-accused Gajendra Rai. Learned counsel further submitted that as per the injury report, the informant's son sustained two bullet injuries on his chest and even as per the allegation alleged in the FIR, co-accused Gajendra Rai shot the informant's son on his chest. Learned counsel further submitted that, as a matter of fact, the petitioner is nowhere connected with the alleged incident. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 25.03.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being no direct material against the petitioner and charge-sheet already being submitted as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Nawada P.S. Case
No. 334 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

