

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13937 of 2018

=====

Pooja Verma D/o Late Maruti Prasad Verma, Resident of House No.139, Road
No. 23, Sri Krishna Nagar, P.S.- Budha Colony, District- District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Land Acquisition, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
5. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.
6. The Patna Municipal Corporation through its Chief Municipal Commissioner, Patna.
7. The Chief Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvendra Kumar Verma, Advocate
	:	Mr. Jai Vardhan Narayan, Advocate
	:	Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18
For the PMC	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“against highly illegal, wrongful and
fraudulent act of the respondents in particular
the District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna by
which even though in land acquisition case no.
02/1985-86 the land of the petitioner bearing
Khata No.107, Plot No. 462, Area-6 Katha 8*



dhur situated in Mauja Jakariyapur Sadar Anchal in Patna district has been acquired in the name Transport Nagar on the request of PRDA, in the name of Vendor of the petitioner although the parents of petitioner has purchased the land by registered sale deed 18.10.78 and their names were also mutated, but the respondents undertook proceeding in the name of Vendor of petitioners namely Lalita Devi without any information or notice to petitioner or her parents, and the petitioner has not been paid even a single penny although their land has been acquired in the name of Transport Nagar.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to agitate the matter before the competent civil court.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

