

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1819 of 2024**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20443 of 2018**

Dr. Devendra Kumar, Son of Late Amrit Prasad, Resident of Village-Mohawachak, P.S. Harnaut, District- Nalanda, presently residing at Nath Nikunj, House No. 11, Sanatan Cooperative, North to Tejnarayan Apartment, Patna-800026.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, namely Sri Baidya Nath Yadav Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, namely Sri Baidya Nath Yadav, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, namely Sri B. Kartikey Dhanji, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Vice-Chancellor, namely Prof. S.P. Shahi, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, Gaya.
5. The Finance Officer, namely Sri Indra Kumar Singh, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, Gaya.
6. The Registrar, namely Dr. Samir Kumar Sharma, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, Gaya.
7. The Principal, namely Prof. (Dr.) Indrajit Pd. Roy, College of Commerce, Patna.

... .. Opposite Parties

**Appearance :**

|                           |   |                                  |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner        | : | Mr. Pratik Kumar Sinha, Advocate |
| For the Magadh University | : | Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate  |
|                           |   | Mr. Sunit Kumar, Advocate        |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      15-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, learned counsel for the Magadh University.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 09.05.2025, the Vice-Chancellor and the Registrar of the Magadh University have appeared online. A show cause has also been filed on 13.05.2025. Along with the show cause, the University has



placed on record the reasoned order dated 09.05.2025 which is Annexure 'R/6' to this affidavit.

3. In course of submissions, learned counsel for the University has shown from Annexure 'R/6' that the Vice-Chancellor of the University has passed a reasoned order wherein he has taken into consideration the admissible salary of the petitioner for the given period and then the pension amount which has been paid to the petitioner for the same period i.e. from 01.04.2011 to 31.08.2023. After deducting the pension amount already paid, the balance amount payable to the petitioner has been credited to his account.

4. After going through Annexure 'R/6', this Court is satisfied that the direction of this Court has been complied with. This contempt application cannot proceed.

5. This application is being disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case the petitioner finds himself still aggrieved by Annexure 'R/6', he may seek his remedy as may be advised to him.

**(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)**

SUSHMA2/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

