

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36904 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2024 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

Mantu Yadav Son of Late Hari Yadav Resident of Village - Raikar, P.S.-
Sangrampur, District - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Devika Rani, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 19-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 258 of 2024 instituted for the
offences under Sections 76, 103(1), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per FIR, this petitioner gave *pati* blow on the
head of the informant's husband due to which he died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Learned counsel further submitted that there is a delay of three days in lodging the FIR without plausible explanation, which in itself, raises doubt over the prosecution story. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 17.02.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of giving pati blow on the head of the deceased due to which he died. Learned APP further submitted that the post-mortem report also supports the allegation as levelled in the FIR.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being direct allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

