

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35637 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2024 Thana- Raghunathpur District- East Champaran

Jhunnu Kumar Singh @ Mohit Singh S/o- Sanjay Singh @ Sanjay Kumar  
Singh Village- Murarpur PS-Harsiddhi District- East Chmparan Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

## Versus

## The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate  
Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Ms. Kumari Rashmi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur, APP  
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      06-08-2025              1.      Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner, Shri  
                                         Krishna Prasad Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State and the  
                                         learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant, at the outset, submits that co-accused Ramanand Thakur had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 29302 of 2025, and the same was rejected by an order dated 6-8-2025. It is next submitted that this Court, while rejecting the regular bail application of Ramanand Thakur, had



considered the case in detail. It is further submitted that the facts of the case has also been recorded in detail in Cr. Misc No. 29302 of 2025. It is next submitted that petitioner is alleged to be the assailant of the son of the informant and the son of the informant before dying disclosed the name of the petitioner that it was the petitioner who shot him.

4. The learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant that the petitioner is alleged to be the assailant of the son of the informant.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and also taking into consideration the order dated 6-8-2025 in Cr. Misc No. 29302 of 2025, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is rejected.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

