

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9638 of 2025

-
1. Md. Ejaz Alam Son of Late Zeyaulah, Resident of Village- East Anwarpur, Near Masjid, Ward No. 21, P.S.- Nagar Thana, District- Vaishali.
 2. Himanshu Shekhar, Son of Mathura Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village- Diggi Khurd, P.S.- Sadar Thana, District- Vaishali.
 3. Saket Kumar, Son of Late Mahesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Chakia, P.S.- Patadhi, Belsar, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The District Education Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur.
6. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhurendra Kumar,Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Additional Advocate General (5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed with the
following relief/s :-

*“1. To pay arrear of salary since the
date of initial appointment treating the
appointment of the petitioner on the post of*



Regular Teacher District Cadre at Pay-Scale 9300-34800 grade pay 4200.

II. To direct the respondent authority to pay the differential salary since the date of initial appointment on compassionate ground in accordance with pay-scale 9300-34800 grade pay 4200 provided the petitioners by subsequent order containing Memo No. 3011 dated 24.06.2023 issued by the District Education Officer, Vaishali.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation seeking the aforesaid relief before respondent no. 5, the District Education Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur, as contained in Annexure-P/8. He further submits that despite filing the said representation, no decision has been taken thereon till date.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that a direction may be issued to the respondent authority to dispose of the pending representation of the petitioners, if not already disposed of, within a stipulated time frame.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 5, the District Education Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur, to consider and dispose of the petitioners' representation by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy



of this order.

6. However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to place any other relevant judgments or materials before respondent no. 5 along with the representation for proper consideration.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

