

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40488 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-351 Year-2024 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

Sikandra Ram @ Sikandar Ram son of Ram Sagar Ram Resident of Village -
Village Khapra, Ps- Akorhigola, Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chhote Lal Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-07-2025 Heard Chhote Lal Mishra, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Mohammed Arif, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dehri (Town) P.S.Case No.351 of 2024, FIR dated 19.05.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner, in connivance with, other co-accused persons are alleged to have sold the land of the informant in favour of co-accused, namely, Ajay Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and the allegation as alleged in the FIR, is false and fabricated. The petitioner has no role at all in the present occurrence. Further submits that the petitioner is only deed writer and on instruction of his client, he has prepared the deed in question. Apart from



that, the co-accused person, namely, Ajay Kumar, who is the beneficiary of the land in question and he is the bonafide purchaser of the land in question has been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 17.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.59429 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present case, but fairly submits that he is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the fact that the petitioner has no role at all in the present occurrence, he is not the beneficiary of the land in question, he is only deed writer and the beneficiary of the deed in question, namely, Ajay Kumar has been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Dehri, (Rohtas) in connection with Dehri (Town) P.S.Case No.351 of 2024, subject to the



conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

