

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2442 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2024 Thana- SC/ST District- Samastipur

-
1. UMESH MAHTO SON OF LATE BHULLAN MAHTO @ BHULLAR MAHTO RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 2. CHANDRA BHUSHAN THAKUR SON OF LATE ASHESHWAR THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 3. VINOD THAKUR @ RAM VINOD THAKUR SON OF LATE BHUNESHWAR THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 4. HEMAN THAKUR @ HEMKANT THAKUR SON OF LATE BABU NANDAN THAKUR @ BABNANDAN THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 5. MANOJ KUMAR @ MANOJ KUMAR THAKUR SON OF LATE GANGASAGAR THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 6. ARVIND THAKUR @ SARVINDO THAKUR SON OF LATE RAM CHANDRA THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 7. ADBUD THAKUR @ AJAY THAKUR @ AJAY KUMAR THAKUR SON OF LATE UMAKANT THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 8. JAIRAM THAKUR SON OF KHAKHAN THAKUR @ RAMSHRESHTH THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR
 9. SHAMBHU JHA SON OF LATE RAMSWARTH THAKUR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. SHAMBHU MALLIK SON OF MANTUN MALLIK RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SEDUKHA, P.S. - KHANPUR, DISTRICT - SAMASTIPUR

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 01-12-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants, learned



Special Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants, after arguing for some times realizing his difficulty, seeks permission to withdraw this appeal with liberty to the appellants to surrender and seek regular bail.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. It is made clear that if the appellants surrender and seek regular bail in that event, the learned trial court shall consider the case on its own merit without being prejudiced by the fact that appellants before this Court withdrew their anticipatory bail application.

6. The counter affidavit filed on behalf of the informant is taken on record.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

