

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36282 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2025 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

Ashish Ranjan @ Bittu Kumar Son of Late Mahabir Singh Resident of
Village - Parmanandpur, P.S.- Lalganj, District - Vaishali (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Harsh Vardhan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Lalganj
P.S. Case No. 162 of 2025 instituted for the offences under
Section 309(4) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. As per prosecution case, three unknown miscreants
have looted the Bolero Pick-up Van of the Informant bearing
Registration No. BR-01-GL-7795.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.
The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the
F.I.R. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name has



transpired in this case on the basis of the disclosures made by the spy. He further submits that nothing incriminating/looted article has been recovered from the conscious/physical possession of the petitioner. The petitioner was not arrested from the place of occurrence. He further submits that the police arrested the petitioner and his confessional statement was recorded which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. No Test Identification Parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 11.04.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 162 of 2025, subject to



the following conditions;

(i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

