

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39786 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2018 Thana- KISHANPUR District- Supaul

=====

Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Sharma @ Suraj Kumar Sharma S/O Butan Sharma
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - BATRAHA, POLICE STATION - SAHARSA,
DIST- SAHARSA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun .

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 21-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant
of bail. Earlier his application was rejected vide order dated
18.10.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 68252 of 2023 which is as
follows:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.*

*2. The petitioner is in custody since 12.05.2023 in
connection with Kishanpur P.S. Case No. 208/2018 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 379 of the Indian Penal
Code.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is alleged
to have committed theft of Dell laptop, mobile phone, cash and
gold ornaments.*

*4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further*



submits that the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of investigation. He next submits that petitioner is not involved in the commission of theft rather he has been made accused on the basis of his criminal antecedents.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

*6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner had been using the mobile phone which is the subject matter of the theft and also the petitioner is accused in nine more cases, this application for grant of bail is dismissed **for the present.**”*

3. In the trial, six witnesses have already been examined.

4. Considering the same, I am not inclined to review my earlier order. This application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

