

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35884 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2025 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

Manjar Imam Son of Late Alam Dastgir Resident of Village- Pyau(Piyaur),  
P.S.- M.H. Nagar, District - Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

**ORAL ORDER**

2      23-06-2025      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 87 of 2025 dated 25.02.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/s 317(5), 111, 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, S.I. Anil Kumar on 27.09.2024, received information during interrogation that there was a plan to kill the owner of a brick klin, Abrey Alam. Based on this input, S.I. Anil Kumar, along with other police personnel, raided the suspected location and apprehended the petitioner Manjhar Imam Khan, along with co-accused Kayamuddin Ansari and Neyaz Alam @ Guddu Khan. From the petitioner, a loaded katta with live cartridges was recovered. From Kayamuddin Ansari, a pistol with four live cartridges, and from Neyaz Khan, one pistol with four live cartridges were recovered.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case merely on suspicion. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has two criminal antecedent in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Gopalganj in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 87 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Sudhanshu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

