

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42321 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2024 Thana- Bodhibigaha District- Gaya

=====

Ranjay Kumar @ Vijay Ram @ Vijay Kumar Son of Rameshwar Ram
Village- Baliya P.S. Bodhi Bigha, District- Gaya, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kiran Kumari Daughter of Ram Bharat Rajak village- Billage Bataspur, ps-
Bodhi Bigha, dist- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhishsek Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

6 24-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bodhi
Bigha P.S. Case No. 53 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 64, 81, 82(1), 89, 126(2), 115(2),
303(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read with
Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
induced the informant into a physical relation, falsely claimed
his wife was dead and performed marriage. It is further alleged
that the petitioner abandoned her and also the petitioner's wife
assaulted her and snatched her gold ornament.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is next submitted that the informant has alleged that the petitioner has made physical relation with her since 2012 but no any complaint has been lodged against him at that time. Hence, the allegation is false and baseless. The petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Bodhi Bigha P.S.
Case No. 53 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

- (I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.
- (II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

Rajorshi/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

