

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36737 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2024 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Chintu Singh @ Chintu S/O Late Manilal Singh R/O
Vill-Mahmadi Chak, Ps-Naubatpur, Dist-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 120 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 302, 201 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case is that over a trivial dispute,
petitioner and other co-accused persons have killed the deceased
by cutting his neck.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 28-02-2024. Petitioner
bears two criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in
paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's



counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is no eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner has been named merely on suspicion. No occurrence as alleged in the FIR ever took place. Dead body of the deceased was allegedly recovered from Neema Bridge. As per postmortem report, cause of death is hemorrhage and shock due to sharp weapon. Charge-sheet is submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is submitted that deceased came along with the driver at the house of the petitioner and thereafter petitioner along with others have committed murder. Bail of similarly situated co-accused has been rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01-10-2024, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64181 of 2024.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being involvement of the petitioner in this case, coupled with the fact that bail of similarly situated co-accused has been rejected by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, accordingly, *rejected*.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and



unnecessary adjournments.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

