

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1765 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1124 of 2023

=====

Arjun Kumar Thakur Son of Sri Bikrama Thakur, Resident of Village- Usri
Mahalmunj, P.O.- Paharpur, P.S.- Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar,
New Secretariat, Patna Patna.
2. Sri Subhash Kumar Gupta, the District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
3. Jamaluddin Ansari, the District Programme Officer (Establishment),
Gopalganj.
4. Sri Ashok Kumar, the Block Development Officer, Baikunthpur, P.O. and
P.S.- Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.
5. Smt. Asha Kumari, the Block Education Officer, Baikunthpur, P.O. and P.S.
Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.
6. Sri Nagendra Singh, the Mukhiya-cum-Chairman, Panchayat Teachers
Employment Committee, Gram Panchayat Raj, Dighwa South, Block and
P.S. Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.
7. Sri Gaurav Kumar, the Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Dighwa
South, Block and P.S.-Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.
8. Sri Amar Nath Singh, the Headmaster, Govt. Primary School, Sabili, Block-
Baikunthpur, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Standing Counsel 16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 07-04-2025 This case has been listed under the heading ‘*To Be
Mentioned*’ to correct the typographical error.

2. It is pointed out that on 18.03.2025, at the request
of learned counsel for the petitioner, this case was dismissed as
infructuous, but, due to technical glitches, the order was created
in M.J.C. No.1765 of 2022, in place of M.J.C. No.1765 of 2024



and typed.

3. In such view of the matter, the order dated 18.03.2025 passed in M.J.C. No.1765 of 2022 is hereby recalled.

4. Let the order passed on 18.03.2025 in this case be read as follows:

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application as it has become infructuous.

7. Permission is granted.

8. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J.)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

