

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34601 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-428 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Nasim Khan S/O Late Insud Khan R/O Village- Pahusara, P.S- Raniganj,
Distt.- Araria. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 43276 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-428 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Bebi Devi @ Baby Devi W/o Ashok Sah R/o vill - Thekpura, Hansa, ward no.
15, P.S. - Raniganj, Distt.- Araria Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 34601 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha

For the State : Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 43276 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

6 11-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in Raniganj
P.S. Case No. 428 of 2024 registered under Sections 108/ 3(5) of
the BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, wife of the informant (the
deceased) took loan of Rs. 2,00,000/- from a Finance Company in
the absence of the informant and gave it to petitioner namely, Bebi
Devi (Cr. Misc. No. 43276 of 2025) but Bebi Devi cheated him
and did not returned the money. It is alleged that in absence of



informant, petitioner namely, Nasim Khan (Cr. Misc. No. 34601 of 2025) impersonated him by using his Aadhar Card. When Bebi Devi left the village, manager of the Company started visiting house of the informant asking for payment of loan due to which wife of the informant (the deceased) hung herself due to tension and died. It is further alleged that Bebi Devi has cheated about 30 people.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submitted that two women, Phagunia Devi and Gudia Devi, who were also cheated by the petitioners, have rendered their statement under Section 180 of the BNSS, which is annexed with the case diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in my view, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

