

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35006 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2013 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

VINOD NUT S/o SUDAMA NUT R/o Vill- Huseni, P.S.- Dumariya Ghat,
Distt.- East Champaran. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Sangeet Deokuliar, Advocate Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Navin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 22-12-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 135 of 2013 registered for the offence

under Sections 395, 397 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3

and 4 of the Explosive Substance Act.

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and

is in custody since 30.01.2025.

4. As per FIR, named co-accused persons committed

dacoity in the house of the informant, while committing dacoity

looted articles worth of Rs. 1.5 lacs.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submitted that petitioner implicated out of confessional statement

of apprehended co-accused persons and even out of their



confessional statement, no overt act appears attributed against petitioner, rather they all stated that being one of friend the petitioner was also involved in the occurrence. It is submitted that the petitioner was not put on TIP as yet to connect him with present crime in question. No incriminating material surfaced during investigation against petitioner. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is pointed out that petitioner found involved in three more criminal cases, where he is on bail in two cases.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as save and except suspicion arising out of confessional statement *prima facie* nothing incriminating appears during course of investigation as to connect petitioner with present dacoity, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 30.01.2025, accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 135 of 2013, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, East Champaran,
Motihari/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned
under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

