

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49391 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-554 Year-2024 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

Ravi Kumar Singh S/o Kamlesh Singh R/o Village- Usari, P.S.- Ishapur, Distt.
Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

4. Allegation is of recovery of 2 litres of liquor from a
bag and a motorcycle was seized. Further, Ajay and Ajit were
apprehended. Apart from the said recovery, liquor was also
recovered from the house of Manju (20 litres) and Ampu (10
litres).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot, as such, nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be



implicated merely for the reason that he is owner of the seized motorcycle. It is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Ajay would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who was also apprehended from the spot.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Baniyapur P.S. Case No. 554 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this Court at para 3 of the anticipatory bail application in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be



confirmed but if it is found on verification that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

