

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CIVIL REVIEW No.151 of 2018**  
**In**  
**FIRST APPEAL No.218 of 2003**

- 
- 
1. Anuj Trivedi Son of Late Rajiv Ranjan Trivedi, Resident of Village Muthani, Post Office Muthani, Police Station Mohania, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
  2. Vandana Mishra, Daughter of Late Rajiv Ranjan Trivedi,
  3. Manorama Chaturvedi, Daughter of Late Rajiv Ranjan Trivedi,
  4. Monalisa Dubey, Daughter of Late Rajiv Ranjan Trivedi,
  5. Vinita Dhar, Daughter of Late Rajiv Ranjan Trivedi, All residents of Village- Muthani, Post Office- Muthani, Police Station- Mohania, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bashishtha Singh and Ors Son of Late Harihar Singh,
2. Laxmi Devi @ Laxmina Devi, Widow of Late Sudarshan Singh,
3. Santosh Kumar, Son of Late Sudarshan Singh,
4. Pankaj Kumar, Son of Late Sudarshan Singh,
5. Sabita Devi, Daughter of Late Sudarshan Singh,
6. Sarita Devi, Daughter of Late Sudarshan Singh,
7. Shiwparsan Singh, Son of Late Harihar Singh,
- 8.1. Ramawati Devi, Widow of late Shiv Shankar Singh, Resident of Village- Kohari, P.S. Bhabua, Distt. Kaimur.
9. Ramyash Singh Son of Chandra Shekhar Singh, All residents of Village- Kohari, Police Station- Bhabhua, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.
10. Most. Anita, Widow of Late Lokesh Trivedi,
11. Om Trivedi, Minor Son of Late Lokesh Trivedi, Under the guardianship of his mother Most. Anita, Both residents of Village- Muthan, Post Office- Muthani, Police Station- Mohania, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      19-06-2025                      No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on call.

2. The present application has been preferred for :-

*for seeking review of the judgment*



*dated 16.01.2018 passed in First Appeal No.  
218/ 2003 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra  
Mohan Sharma, one of the Hon'ble Judge of  
this Hon'ble High Court.*

3. A perusal of the order no. 4 would show that before the Co-ordinate Bench also, on 03.04.2025, no one appeared despite repeated calls.

4. It seems the petitioner has lost interest in the case. According to the same is dismissed for non prosecution.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Raj Ranjan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

