

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.5 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7002 of 2020

=====

Vikash Mandal @ Vikash Kumar Ray Son of Late Kailash Mandal, Resident of Village-Tulsipur, Police Station-Kharik, District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj, District-Kishanganj, Bihar.
4. District Animal Husbandry Officer, Kishanganj, District-Kishanganj, Bihar.
5. The Animal Husbandry Officer-cum-Hospital Incharge at Birnia, District-Kishanganj.
6. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
7. Mukesh Kumar Rai @ Mukesh Mandal, Son of Late Kailash Mandal, resident of Village-Tulsipur, Police Station-Kharik, District-Bhagalpur, Bihar.
8. Rakesh Kumar Rai @ Rakesh Mandal Son of Late Kailash Mandal, resident of Village-Tulsipur, Police Station-Kharik, District-Bhagalpur, Bihar.
9. Reeta Devi @ Anita Devi, Wife of Dayanand Mandal, resident of at Katghara Diyara, P.O. Fudki Chak, Police Station-Gogri, District-Khagaria, Bihar.
10. Salita Devi @ Sunita Devi Wife of Ajad Mandal resident of Village-Bhawanipur, Police Station-Bhawahipur, District-Bhagalpur, Bihar.
11. Kamini Devi Wife of Late Kailash Mandal, resident of Village-Chhatrubasti, P.O. Sameswar, Police Station-Bahadurganj, District-Kishanganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 26-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State, Mrs. Nutan Sahay.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the



following relief(s):

*for review of order dated 3.7.2020
passed in C.W.J.C.No. 7002 of 2020 (Kamini
Devi Vs. The State of Bihar and others)
passed by Hon'ble Justice Anil Kumar
Upadhyay.*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the
review petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Accordingly, the same is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

