

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37847 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2024 Thana- ROHTAS GRP CASE District- Rohtas

Sanjay Kumar S/o- Jagdish Bhuiyan R/o - Dani Bigha, Ward No.02, P.S -
Aurangabad Town, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 29-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S. Tr.
Case No. 506 of 2024 arising out of Sasaram (Rail) P.S. Case
No. 34 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Section 370 of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 79 of the Juvenile Justice
Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide order
dated 18-09-2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 48357 of 2024.

4. In compliance of the order dated 14-07-2025 a
report dated 05-08-2025 with regard to the present stage of trial



has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that one witness is examined in this case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 02-04-2024 without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. There is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of four months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

