

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.23 of 2021

Dr. Swatantra Kumar Singh, S/o Late Dashrath Pd. Singh, Resident of
Mohalla- Radha Krishna Nagar, Ward No.- 8/12, P.S.- Saharsa, District-
Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
3. The Sub- Divisional Officer-cum- Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Saharsa.
4. The Circle Officer, Kahara, Saharsa.
5. The Officer-in- Charge, Saharsa P.S., Saharsa.
6. Smt. Premlata Devi W/o Sri Lal Prasad Singh Resident of Village- Nado,
P.S.- Sour Bazar, District- Saharsa At Present Resident of Mohalla- Radha
Bazar, District- Saharsa At Present Resident of Mohalla- Radha Krishna
Nagar, Ward No.- 08/12, Simraha, P.S.- Saharsa, District- Saharsa.
- 7.1. Mithilesh Kumar Singh S/o Dev Ballabh Singh, Resident of Village - Nado,
P.S. Sour Bazar, District Saharsa, at present Resident of Mohalla - Radha
Krishna Nagar, Ward No. - 08/12, P.S. Saharsa, District Saharsa.
- 7.2. Naresh Kumar Singh S/o Dev Ballabh Singh, Resident of Village - Nado,
P.S. Sour Bazar, District Saharsa, at present Resident of Mohalla - Radha
Krishna Nagar, Ward No. - 08/12, P.S. Saharsa, District Saharsa.
- 7.3. Rana Ramesh Kumar Singh S/o Dev Ballabh Singh, Resident of Village -
Nado, P.S. Sour Bazar, District Saharsa, at present Resident of Mohalla -
Radha Krishna Nagar, Ward No. - 08/12, P.S. Saharsa, District Saharsa.
8. Sri Jitendra Narayan Singh S/o Late Bhogendra Prasad Singh Resident of
Village- Mahua, P.S.- Ghailadh, District- Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Madhu Prasun, Advocate Mrs. Renu Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binay Kumar, AC to SC-10
For Respondents 6 & 8	:	Mr. Binoy Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For Respondents 7(i) to 7 (iii)	:	Dr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 09-01-2025

Today, the matter has been listed under the heading
“For Orders (On Office Notes)”. However, on the prayer of the



parties, the matter is taken up for its disposal.

2. Dr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, learned counsel appears and submits that he would be filing *vakalatnama* on behalf of respondent nos.7 (I) to 7 (iii) today itself.

3. Heard learned counsels for the respective parties.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is confining his prayer only to the extent of seeking direction to the learned Sub Judge-IV, Saharsa to expedite and dispose of Title Suit No. 287 of 2014 at the earliest, preferably within some stipulated time limit. The learned counsel for the petitioner specifically submits that he has not been pressing the other reliefs.

5. Since the petitioner has limited his prayer only for expeditious disposal of Title Suit No. 287 of 2014 pending before the learned trial court, I think no useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending since it is a petition of the year 2021.

6. Expeditious disposal of the civil suit is in the interest of parties and in general public as well and there could be no denial of this fact.

7. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as submission made on behalf of the



petitioner, the learned Sub Judge-IV, Saharsa is directed to expedite the proceeding of Title Suit No. 287 of 2014 and try to conclude the same on its merits and in accordance with law preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, without adjourning the matter unnecessarily, since it has been pending for last 10 years.

8. At the same time, the parties are directed to cooperate in the matter for its early disposal without seeking unnecessary adjournment.

9. With the aforementioned observations and directions, the instant petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	09.01.2025
Transmission Date	NA

