

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9524 of 2025

-
1. Shashi Ranjan Kumar Son of Shri Madan Dhari Sharma, resident of Raghu Kunj, Old Arvind Mahila College, P.S.-Kadam Kuan, District-Patna.
 2. Smt. Kamini Ranjan, Wife of Shashi Ranjan Kumar, resident of Raghu Kunj, Old Arvind Mahila College, P.S.-Kadam Kuan, District-Patna.
 3. Ojaswi Ranjan, Son of Shashi Ranjan Kumar, resident of Raghu Kunj, Old Arvind Mahila College, P.S.-Kadam Kuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Housing and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Housing and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Patna Municipal Corporation through the Municipal Commissioner, Maurya Lok Complex, Bailey Road, Patna-800001.
4. The Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Maurya Lok Complex, Bailey Road, Patna-800001.
5. The Estate Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Maurya Lok Complex, Bailey Road, Patna-800001.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vikram Kumar, SC-11
For the PMC	:	Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Senior Counsel for the Patna Municipal Corporation and learned counsel for the State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ application is being disposed of at this stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for seeking the reliefs as claimed for in the present writ application,



the petitioners have already filed a representation before the Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna (Respondent No. 4) which has been brought on record (at page-64 of the writ application). The said representation pending before the Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna (Respondent No. 4) is dated 07.03.2025. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if an appropriate direction is given to the Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna (Respondent No. 4) to dispose of the representation on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

4. This prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is not opposed by the learned counsels for the Respondents.

5. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is disposing of the present writ application by directing the Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna (Respondent No. 4) to dispose of the representation dated 07.03.2025 (at page-64 of the writ application) on merit after giving an opportunity of personally hearing to the petitioners, by passing a reasoned and speaking order. The entire exercise must



be completed within a period of four months from the date of production of a copy of this order by the petitioners.

6. The Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna (Respondent No. 4) will allow the petitioners to supplement the existing representation, if the petitioners so desire to do so in support of his case.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ application is disposed of.

8. All pending Interlocutory Applications, if any shall stand disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

