

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2997 of 2017

Govind Kumar Mishra Son of Dharmendra Mohan Mishra Resident of Village
and P.O. - Balshaitha, P.S.- Benipatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India
2. The Director General C.R.P.F. C.G.O., Ministry of Home Affair Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi -11000
3. The Director Central Appointment Sashastra Seema Bal, Ministry of Home
Affairs, Govt. of India, Ne
4. The Assistant Director Appointment Sashastra Seema Bal, Ministry of Home
Affairs, Govt. of India,
5. The Commandant 44th BN SSB, Ministry of Home Affairs, Narkatiaganj,
Bhiyajee Colony , Near Himalaya
6. The Selection Officer, 44th BN SSB, Ministry of Home Affairs,
Narkatiaganj, Bhiyajee Colony , Near

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.S.D Sanjay Addl. Soc. Gen.
For the UOI	:	Mrs. Kanak Verma, CGC

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 21-02-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Learned Counsel for the Union of India.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present writ petition has been filed for directing the respondent
to medically examined the petitioner again after setting aside the
Review Medical Examination Report dated 15.09.2016 of the
petitioner (Annexure-1).

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner had appeared in the examined process for



appointment on the post of Constable GD in the year 2015 and he has wrongly been declared unfit in the Medical Examination Board and subsequently, in the Review Medical Examination also.

4. Learned Counsel for the Union of India submits that it is a matter of the year 2015 and petitioner has exhausted the legal remedy. He further submits that the Authorities have provided him opportunity to appear before Review Medical Board, but there, he found unfit.

5. In the light of the facts and circumstances, this Court finds that with the influx of time, this case has become infructuous. As such, the present writ petition is hereby dismissed having no merit in this case.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present writ application stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

