

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7970 of 2018

=====

Veena Kumar Daughter of Ramesh Ram, resident of Village- Jagalpur Sahadi Patti, P.S.- Basantpur, District- Siwan. presently posted as Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Chand Kudariya Urdu, P.S.- Mashrakh, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, HRD, Govt of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
5. The Dy. Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chapra.
7. The District Programme officer Establishment, Saran at Chapra.
8. The Block Development Officer-cum-Secretary, Block Employment Unit, Baniyapur, Saran at Chapra.
9. The Block Education Officer, Mashrakh, Saran at Chapra.
10. The Headmaster, Primary School, Chand Kudariya, Urdu, Block- Mashrakh, District- Saran at Chapra.
11. The Panchayat Secretary, Chand Kudariya Panchayat, Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Binod Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Jha, Gp-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the Respondent-State.

2. The petitioner in the present writ application has
prayed for the grant of following reliefs:-

(i) For necessary direction upon the respondent
authorities for allowing the petitioner to mark her attendance in



their respective school and also for a direction upon the respondent authorities to make payment of salary to the petitioner during the period of their work.

(ii) For necessary direction upon the respondent authorities to pay the arrears of salary to the petitioner with effect from February, 2017.

(iii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ, order or direction, which your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. While advancing argument, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the instant writ application, the petitioner has been terminated from service and, therefore, he wants to withdraw the present writ application with liberty to challenge the order of termination.

4. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

5. As prayed for, the writ application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

