

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39451 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2024 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

Karan Kumar S/o Motilal Mahato @ Motilal Mahto Resident of village-
Ujjain Lohiyar, P.S.- Harsidhi, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Harsidhi P.S. Case No. 68 of 2024 dated 13.02.2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 364A read with Section 34 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, some unknown miscreants are alleged to have kidnapped the informant's son by vehicle bearing Registration No. BR-01-FY-9395 and the accused persons also called the informant through a mobile number 9572182900 and demanded Rs. 3,00,000/- as ransom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. It is submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. No incriminating article has been recovered from his possession. It is further submitted that it is not a case of kidnapping rather the victim and his family members took loan from the co-accused, Bikash Kumar and Karan Kumar (petitioner) and due to that some scuffle took place between them but the informant has lodged the present false case against the petitioner and others to teach lesson. The victim has been recovered and his statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has been recorded in which he has named the petitioner. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 12.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 22954/2025. The petitioner has four criminal antecedents in which he is on bail as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. He is in custody in this case since 17.12.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner. Earlier anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this court *vide* order dated 20.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 48050 of 2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond



of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Sadar, Motihari, East Champaran in connection with Harsidhi P.S. Case No. 68 of 2024 with further condition:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

