

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1961 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-65 Year-2020 Thana- DANDARI District- Begusarai

Madhu Yadav @ Madho Yadav S/o- Late Hakru Yadav Village- Hardiya P.S-
Dandari Dist- Begusarai

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sumit Kumar S/o- Late Sagar Singh Village- Hardiya P.S- Dandari Dist- Begusarai

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, SPP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 16-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned SPP
for the State.

2. . The instant appeal has been filed by the appellant against the order dated 15.04.2025 passed by learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Begusarai whereby the prayer for bail of the appellant in connection with Dandari P.S. Case No. 65 of 2020 under Sections 302, 307, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act and 3(1)(r)(s), 3(2) (v) of SC/ST Act was rejected.

3. Earlier vide order dated 14.03.2024 passed in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 3544 of 2023 regular bail of the appellant was rejected by this Court considering the nature of allegation granting liberty to the appellant to renew his prayer for grant of bail if the trial is not concluded within the next 12 months.



4. In compliance of the order dated 15.10.2025 a report dated 11.11.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that there are ten charge-sheeted witnesses and all the witnesses have been examined. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded in one year.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is languishing in judicial custody since 12.06.2020 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned SPP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the appellant. From the aforesaid report, it appears that trial is on the verge of conclusion.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the appellant is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

