

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34450 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2025 Thana- MADHUBANI TOWN District-
Madhubani

Kumar Devanshu Son of Rakesh Kumar Karn Resident of Village - Betauna,
Police Station - Benipatti, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishwanath Prasad Sinha, Sr. Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 03-06-2025 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Madhubani (Town) P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025, lodged on
10.03.2025, under Sections 132, 339, 340(2), 341(1) and 336(3)
of the BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged stating
that, upon receiving a call on Dial No. 112, the police reached
the spot and found that the accused person was continuously
changing his identity and presenting different ID cards in an
attempt to mislead the authorities. Upon further inquiry and
pressure from the police, it was revealed that the petitioner had
created multiple ID cards with different names, addresses, and



identification numbers. The documents recovered from the petitioner indicate that he was frequently engaged in acts of forgery, using various ID cards, different type of seals and other forged materials to cheat persons. There is also an allegation that the petitioner was involved in creating disturbances within a government body.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel further submits that, upon bare reading of the entire FIR, there is no specific allegation that the petitioner used any of the ID cards or documents with the intent to commit forgery or cheat any individual. It is further submitted that the entire case is false and is based merely on a presumption by the police that, by virtue of the seized documents, the petitioner might be involved in such activities, however, no concrete act or instance of forgery or cheating has been attributed to the petitioner. Counsel also submits that the petitioner has a clean criminal antecedent and has been in custody since 11.03.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the recovery of multiple ID cards bearing different identities of the same person and different type of seals along with a false service book, indicates



that the petitioner is involved in illegal activities. It is further submitted that the police have recorded the entire recovery process in both audio and video format, in accordance with the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

6. In the present facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail before the Trial Court after framing of charge, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall not evade his appearance during the course of trial and shall comply with all directions of the Court.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

