

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8458 of 2024

=====

Siyamani Devi, Wife of Late Krishna Murari Sharma, Resident of Village-
Punhada, Post- Newari, P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad, Pin Code-
804425

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey
Road, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Finance Building
Gardanibag, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Jehanabad District- Jehanabad.
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
6. The District Education Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
7. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Jehanabad, District-
Jehanabad.
8. The Treasury Officer, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Advocate Ms. Puja Kumari, Advocate Mr. Shashi Saurabh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-03-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The husband of the petitioner died in harness on
08.03.2012, while working as an Assistant Teacher at High
School, Ekki, Jehanabad. Despite the unfortunate demise of the
husband of the petitioner, till date the petitioner has not been
accorded the admissible G.P.F. amount and other benefits
compelling her to approach before this Court.



3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, referring to the statement made in the rejoinder to the counter affidavit, has contended that all the admissible amount has been paid to the petitioner except the amount, as has been disclosed in para.8 thereof, which is quoted hereinbelow:

“8. That the petitioner has not paid the following amount of G.P.F.:

(a) Rs.1,05,208/- of authorised amount by letter no. 231 dated 16.10.2024.

(b) Rs. 1,98,824/- of remaining amount of G.P.F. of interest from Aug. 2012 to Nov. 2013 and uptill now.

i.e. total dues of Three lakh four hundred and thirty rupees is still dues up to Jan.2021.”

4. Learned Advocate for the State submits that considering the claim of the petitioner, the respondent no.5 made re-calculation of the G.P.F. amount of the deceased husband of the petitioner at the rate of 12.5 per cent and accordingly authorized the remaining amount of G.P.F. of the deceased husband of the petitioner vide Authority letter dated 16.10.2024 along with up-to-date interest and the same has been dispatched to the concerned authority for making payment.

5. Considering the nature of the grievance and the statements made in the counter affidavit as well as rejoinder, the present writ petition stands disposed off with a direction to



respondent nos. 5 and 8 to ensure payment of the authorized amount, preferably within a period of eight weeks from today.

6. Suffice it to observe that the husband of the petitioner died in harness way back in the year 2012. In case of delay in payment of the same within the stipulated period, eight per cent per annum statutory interest shall be accrued on the due amount, which shall be realized from the erring officials.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.03.2025
Transmission Date	NA

