

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1337 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Siwan

=====

Ratnesh Kumar Pandey @ Rinku Pandey, S/o Vashishtha Narayan Pandey @
Omkar Nath Pandey, Resident of Village- Panchbenia, P.S- Asaon, District-
Siwan.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Deptt.
Govt. of Bihar, Patna, Bihar Bihar
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna,
Bihar.
3. The Secretary, Home Department (Police Station), Govt. of Bihar, Patna,
Bihar.
4. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Siwan.
6. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Siwan Sadar, Siwan.
7. The Police Inspector-cum-Police Station Officer, Asaon Police Station,
Siwan

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Ms. Divya Verma, AC to AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

2 02-12-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
deposit the Court Fees of Rs.250/- within two weeks from today.
So far as Defect No. 6(2) is concerned, learned counsel
undertakes to provide the correct subject in course of the day.

2. Mr. Pravin Kumar, learned Assisting Counsel to the
learned Advocate on record is permitted to provide the correct
subject in the index.



3. We have perused the writ application. It appears that the petitioner has moved this Court for quashing and setting aside the show cause notice dated 12.03.2025 issued by Respondent No. 4 i.e. the District Magistrate, Siwan under Section 3 of the Bihar Control of Crimes Act, 2024 calling upon him to appear with his written explanation on 24.03.2025.

4. It appears that petitioner has filed a written explanation as required by the notice but without awaiting the final order, the petitioner has chosen to move this Court by filing a writ application.

5. In our considered opinion, this writ application is not fit to be entertained. The petitioner is at liberty to appear before the District Magistrate, Siwan and press his explanation. It is for him to pursue the same before the District Magistrate, Siwan.

6. Liberty will be there to seek redressal of his grievance against final order, in accordance with law.

7. This writ application is disposed of with aforesaid observations.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

lekhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

