

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10747 of 2025

1. Mundrika Mahto son of Jagdish Mahto, Resident of Village - Mangarpar Nuran, P.S.-Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
2. Shyam Babu Rai son of Late Ramswarath Rai Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
3. Ram Janam Das son of Santlal Das Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
4. Kapil Deo Rai son of Late Kashi Rai, Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
5. Ramji Rai, son of Late Ram Nihora Rai, Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
6. Devanti Devi wife of Kamal Thakur, Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
7. Birendra Rai son of Late Sarvanand Rai Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.
8. Chinta Devi wife of Late Jai Narayan Rai, Resident of village- Manpura, P.S. Dariyapur in the district of Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Saran at Chhapra.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chhapra.
4. The Circle Officer at Dariyapur, Saran.
5. The Zonal Director, NHAI, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad Yadav, Adv.
For NHAI	:	Mr. Kumar Goutam, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2025 Heard Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mrs. Nutan Sahay representing the State as also Mr. Kumar Goutam representing the National Highway Authority of India (henceforth for short 'NHAI').



2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

That through the present application, the petitioners "PRAY" to the Hon'ble Court do DIRECT all the respondents in general and the respondent No.03 to 05 in particular to pay the compensatory amounts to them against their lands acquired/to be acquired for the construction of the NH 139 (W) (to be situated from 0 km to 18.077) upto NH-19. Due to the non-payments of the same, the petitioners as well as other common farmers have got aggrieved so this application has preferred for needful.

3. It is the case of the petitioners that process has been undertaken to acquire the land for the proposed construction of NH-139(W) but the compensation amount has eluded them.

4. Both the learned State Counsel as also learned counsel for 'the NHAI' submits that the details of the land of the each petitioner(s) is/are missing and in that background, unless they approach separately along with all the relevant documents, it may not be possible for any one much less the respondent no. 3, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra to decide it.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they



shall be individually approaching the respondent- District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chhapra within a period of four weeks.

6. In case, the petitioners approach separately/individually along with the relevant documents after hearing all the concerned parties, the respondent-District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chhapra is duty-bound to take the matter to its logical conclusion within a period of next four months.

7. If the petitioner(s) is/are entitled to the compensation, it goes without saying that the said process has to be completed at an earliest.

8. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

