

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9254 of 2025**

Chhaya Kumari D/o Late Ramashankar Verma, Resident of Village-  
Kahlarwa, Mathurapur, P.S. and District- Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sheohar.
4. The District Education Officer, Sheohar.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Sheohar.
6. The Block Development Officer, Sadar, Sheohar.
7. The Block Education Officer, Sadar, Sheohar.
8. Panchayat Sachiv-cum- Member Employment Unit, Gram Panchayat- Mathurapur, Kahtarwa, District- Sheohar.
9. Manoj Kumar, S/o Late Ramashankar Kr. Verma R/o Mohalla- Jail Road, Dumra, P.S.- Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Government Pleader (13)    |
|                      |   | Mrs. Shweta Anand, AC to GP-13 |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      8-06-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner who is a Panchayat Teacher has filed

this writ petition with the following prayers:-

*“...(i) That this writ petition is being filed for issuance of an appropriate order/s, direction/s including a writ preferably in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing upon the respondents to take appropriate legal action against the responsible private respondents who has been intentionally and illegally made complaint against the petitioner that she is working on the post of Panchayat*

*Teacher on the basis of forged and fabricated certificates.*

*(ii) To direct the respondents may be directed to enquire into the matter and take appropriate legal action against the responsible private respondents.*

*(iii) To grant him any other relief/s to which he is entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case..”*

3. No relief sought for by the petitioner in the instant writ petition can be granted by this Court in exercise of the power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the facts and circumstances of the case.

4. Accordingly this writ petition stands dismissed with a liberty granted to the petitioner to seek remedy before the appropriate authority of the State against the private respondent, in accordance with law, if so advised.

**(Nani Tagia, J)**

Nishant/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|