

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34015 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHI District- Saran

Ranjan Yadav S/O Yugal Yadav @ Jugal Yadav R/O Village- Jayee Chapra,  
PS- Manjhi, district- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-05-2025                      Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 34 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable u/s 115(2), 126(2), 326(g) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 28.01.2025 at about 10:25, the informant was sleeping at his hut. In the meantime, the petitioner and the co-accused persons armed with deadly weapons came and started sprinkling petrol on the informant's hut where the cattle were also kept and lit the same on fire due to which several cattle got burnt and were even dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and there is a general and omnibus



allegation against all the named accused persons including the petitioner. It is next submitted that the fire took place accidentally and with an ill motive the informant has falsely implicated the petitioner and others in the present case. As per the Annexure-2, it is evident that the petitioner is a cancer patient and he is unable to move. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent and is in custody since 04.02.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and also considering the period of custody, let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No. 34 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

*(i) One of the bailors will be close relative of the petitioner.*

*(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court.*

*(iii) In case of absence on two*



*consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be canceled by the Court concerned.*

*(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.*

*(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.*

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Sourendra Pandey, J)**

Jyoti/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

