

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2376 of 2018

=====

Wasi Ahmad Ansari Son of Late Md. Qurban Ansari, Resident of Village-
Pachakesar, P.S. Terra, Karpi, District Arwal Presently residing at Road
No.15-A, Sector-II, Haroon Nagar Colony, P.S. Phulwarisarif, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Department of Environment and Forest, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State of Jharkhand through Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Chief Conservator of Forest (Headquarters), Patna.
5. The Regional Chief Conservator of Forest, Patna.
6. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gaya.
7. The Divisional Forest Officer, Munger
8. The Divisional Forest Officer, Aurangabad.
9. The Divisional Forest Officer, Gopalganj.
10. The Forest Research Officer, Ranchi, Jharkhand

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. A.N. Sinha, GP-21
For the State of Jharkhand:		Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-08-2025

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner,

learned Counsel for the State of Bihar and learned Counsel

for the State of Jharkhand.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits

that vide order dated 15.01.2024, this matter was directed to

tag with CWJC No.18727 of 2017. Learned Counsel for



the petitioner submits that the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court has pleased to decide the reference vide order dated 28.06.2024 in CWJC No.18727 of 2017 along with analogous cases and matter has been again come before Hon'ble Single Bench. Learned Counsel further submits that in the light of the said reference, benefits of 1st ACP and 2nd A.C.P have been sanctioned to the petitioner. Counsel submits that only grant of 3rd ACP is now in question. But he submits that in principle this case has become infructuous because initially the State was not ready to grant ACP and his prayer to grant 1st ACP and 2nd ACP was refused. Hence, according to him, this case has become infructuous.

3. Counsel for the State submits that since initially ACP was refused but in the light of **Kamlanand Thakur Vs. the State of Bihar and Others** (CWJC No.18727 of 2017) the matter became infructuous.

4. In this view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed off as infructuous with liberty that if any further relief after grant of ACP in principle either with regard to the effective date or with regard to MACP, the liberty is



hereby granted to the petitioner that if he shall have any grievance he may sue further before the proper authority in accordance with law.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Mkr./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.08.2025
Transmission Date	

