

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6817 of 2018**

=====

Jagdishpur Vyapar Mandal Through The Chairman Valmiki Choudhary S/o  
Ram Bhushan Choudhary Resident of Village - Diliya, Masuri, P.S. -  
Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur Ara, Vyapar Mandal at Jagidshpur, P.S. -  
Jagdishpur, District - Bhojpur Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Food And Consumer Protection Department Through The Principal Secretary
2. The State of Bihar through District Magistrate, District - Bhojpur at Ara.
3. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited through its M.D., Bihar at Patna.
4. The Chief General Manager Procurement, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, B
5. The Chairman-cum-District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
6. The Additional Collector, Bhojpur at Ara.
7. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Bhojpur Ara, Distri

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumeet Kumar Singh, Adv.  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.Raza Ahmad -AAG-5

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

8      13-08-2025                      1. On 25.07.2025, at the request of the  
  
Learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter was  
adjourned for 01.08.2025, as a last indulgence. On  
01.08.2025, this Court passed a detailed oral order  
which reads as follows:-

*1. In spite of posting the  
matter as a last indulgence for  
today, the Learned counsel for  
the petitioner again seeks time.*



*2. Therefore, the matter is adjourned on payment of cost of Rs. 50/- (Rupees Fifty only) to the Bihar State Legal Services Authority with rider that no further adjournment shall be granted. List on 08.08.2025.*

2. However, the matter was taken up today i.e. 13.08.2025. In compliance of the order dated 01.08.2025, cost has been paid. However, in spite of the rider in the order dated 01.08.2025, that no further adjournment shall be granted, the Learned counsel for the petitioner again sought for an adjournment. Therefore, this Court is constrained to dismiss the matter for default and for non-prosecution in absence of any submissions made by the Learned counsel for the petitioner.

**(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)**

Manish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

